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When thinking about establishing a standard charitable remainder unitrust, donors and their advisors naturally focus on the income tax charitable deduction, the opportunity to avoid capital gains tax on the sale of appreciated property, and the initial payment the donors will receive from the trust. Often, less attention is given to the longer-term effects of the choice of unitrust payout rate. This is unfortunate, since the payout rate decision:

- is irrevocable,
- is the most important determinant of the trust’s value to its income and remainder beneficiaries, and
- determines the pattern of the payment stream from the trust over time.

One of the most common misconceptions regarding the payout rate decision is that a higher payout rate will significantly increase the benefit to the beneficiaries. While this tends to be true for beneficiaries over age 75, increasing the payout rate will provide comparatively little benefit to beneficiaries who are in their 60s or younger. The best way to illustrate these concepts is by example.

Assume that Mr. and Mrs. Smith, ages 67 and 64, would like to establish a $250,000 standard charitable remainder unitrust, receiving lifetime income in return and naming their favorite charity as trustee and remainderman. According to IRS tables, the Smiths have a joint life expectancy of 25 years. They are considering a payout rate in the 5% to 7% range. The charity trustee expects to invest the trust in a growth-oriented portfolio with an expected annual total return, net of fees, of 8.5%. The general inflation rate is assumed to be 3.5% per year, while the charity expects to experience an additional inflation premium of 1.5%, bringing its inflation rate to 5.0%. (This is consistent with the higher inflation rates historically experienced by educational institutions and other charities.) Finally, the Smiths are in the 30% federal income tax bracket, and live in a state with a 5% income tax.

Lower Payout Rates Help Preserve Purchasing Power

It is important first to understand that unitrust payments are dependent upon the market value of the trust as revalued each year, typically on January 1. When the market value of the trust grows, the payments grow. Conversely, when the market value of the unitrust declines, the payments decline. For example, if a 5% payout trust has a valuation of $100,000 on January 1, the payments that year will total $5,000. If the valuation the following January 1 is $102,000, the distribution for the next succeeding year will be $5,100.

Chart A illustrates the projected payments from a 5%, 6%, and 7% unitrust over the anticipated 25-year horizon of the Smiths’ trust. The payment stream from the 7% trust starts at a higher level than the other trusts, but does not grow as fast over time. This is because a higher payout leaves less of the investment return inside the trust where it can compound tax-free. Notice that the payment streams “cross over” at about year 18. In the later years of the trust, for example, the 5% trust would make larger dollar payments to the Smiths than...
would the 7% trust. This could be important to the
Smiths in later retirement years when their expenses
may be higher and/or their retirement plan assets have
been drawn down.

Of course, the value of any type of payment stream is
most accurately measured in terms of purchasing power.
Chart B takes these same payment streams from the 5%,
6%, and 7% trusts and adjusts them for inflation. The
result is a considerably different picture. Again the pay-
ments from the 7% trust begin at a higher level, but they
also decline at the most rapid rate. Every year the
Smiths would be able to buy fewer goods and services
with the payments from their trust. The 6% payment
stream declines less rapidly. And although the pay-
ments from the 5% trust do not grow after inflation, they
at least maintain their purchasing power through time.
(You can intuit this result by realizing that the assumed
total return of 8.5% per year, minus inflation of 3.5% per
year, minus the 5% unitrust payout requirement, leaves
exactly a 0% growth rate for the trust, resulting in a flat
payment stream.)

Chart B

In the Real World
Payments Go Up and Down
When illustrating the differing payment streams from
unitrusts, we use long-term average investment
returns. When a trust has a long horizon, say of 25 to
30 years, there is a high probability that the trust will
experience an average return over the entire period
that is close to the expected return, in this case, 8.5%.
But over shorter periods of time, returns can fluctuate
widely around that expected number.

Chart C illustrates this phenomenon by substituting for
the long-term average investment return the actual
investment returns experienced by this same growth-or-
iented portfolio in the marketplace beginning in 1960.
Here again, the 7% payment stream starts out higher,
and the 5% payment stream begins to outpace it starting
about year 18. No trust is likely to produce the smooth,
gently upward-sloping lines that are depicted in Chart
A. Instead, trust payment streams go up and down with
the investment markets as depicted in Chart C.

Lower Payout Rates and
Total Benefits to the Donor
In addition to examining how the payout rate decision
affects the pattern of payments over time, donors should
evaluate its impact on the total benefits they will receive.
These benefits include the value of the stream of antic-
pated payments and the value of tax savings derived
from the income tax charitable deduction. To arrive at
an accurate comparison, we need to adjust for income
taxes to be paid on the payments, and for inflation.

The evaluation for the Smiths is depicted in Chart D. Each
data point in the chart represents the cumulative
value in today’s dollars of all after-tax payments
received up to and including that particular year, plus
the income tax savings generated at the time of the gift.
For example, over the course of the entire 25-year
expected horizon of the 5% trust, the Smiths expect to
receive total benefits of $242,000 in today’s dollars. If
the Smiths were to select a 7% trust, the total value of
the tax savings and after-tax payments they are esti-
mated to receive would be about $264,000 in today’s
dollars, or about 9% more.

Why is there so little difference between the three lines
in Chart D? One reason is that lower payout rates gen-
erate higher charitable deductions, and therefore save
more tax dollars than the deductions generated by
Looking closely, you will notice that changing the unitrust payout rate from 5% to 6% to 7% makes less of a difference in the height of the gray bars than in the height of the maroon bars. More specifically, if the Smiths choose a 5% trust instead of a 7% unitrust, the total value of their benefits will fall from about $264,000 to $242,000, a drop of about 8%. But if they select the 5% rate, they will improve the trust remainder value from $106,000 to $173,000, a dramatic 63% increase in the value of their gift to their favorite charity. This is powerful leverage indeed!

**Summary**

Charitable remainder unitrusts are typically considered to be the preferred life income gift for younger donors because the payments have the opportunity to grow over time. To achieve the full benefits of this arrangement, these donors should seriously consider selecting a lower payout rate. This decision can dramatically enhance their gift to charity without diminishing significantly the value of the benefits they will receive from the trust.

### Lower Payout Rates Leverage the Gift

This brings us to one final and important factor to consider when selecting a unitrust payout rate: the impact upon the value of the gift to charity. Chart E juxtaposes the value of the trust benefits to the Smiths with the value of the benefits to their favorite charity, and illustrates how lower payout rates leverage the charitable gift.

The gray bars in Chart E represent, in today’s dollars, the value of the tax savings and after-tax payments the Smiths are estimated to receive over the life of the trust. The maroon bars represent, in today’s dollars, the value of the remainder gift to charity.

This “boosts” the starting point of the 5% line as compared, for example, to the 7% line. A second reason was discussed earlier; namely that the payments from lower payout rate trusts better maintain their value over time.